

Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

June 15, 2026

Mr. Philip C. Droege
Director
The White House, Office of Records Management
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Director Droege:

We write as part of a continuing oversight investigation concerning President Trump’s executive clemency actions taken since January 20, 2025.¹ Public reporting has raised serious questions about certain pardons issued by President Donald Trump.² These reports suggest that some individuals may have received favorable consideration from President Trump or his advisors through intermediaries, financial contributions, influencers, or other forms of influence.³ If true, these allegations raise legitimate concerns that improper considerations, including quid pro quo or “pay-to-play” dynamics, may have affected the clemency process.

While the President’s pardon authority is broad, Congress retains a legitimate legislative interest when credible questions arise regarding process, access, or abuse of power. The Office of the Pardon Attorney at the Department of Justice (DOJ) plays a critical role in DOJ’s clemency-review process by receiving and reviewing clemency requests and providing recommendations. Public reporting has suggested that some individuals seeking executive clemency by President Trump bypassed this process and instead sought direct access to the

¹ Press release, *Representative Dave Min and Senator Peter Welch Launch Oversight Investigation Into Trump Pardons Amid Corruption Concerns*, Office of Representative Dave Min (May 7, 2026), <https://min.house.gov/media/press-releases/representative-dave-min-and-senator-peter-welch-launch-oversight-investigation>.

² Erin Keller, *Trump was persuaded into pardoning golf partner’s client over 18 holes: Report*, INDEPENDENT (Dec. 6, 2025), <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-timothy-leiweke-pardon-golf-b2879459.html>; Rebecca Ballhaus, Josh Dawsey, & C. Ryan Barber, *Inside the new fast track to a presidential pardon*, MSN (Dec. 23, 2025), <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/inside-the-new-fast-track-to-a-presidential-pardon/ar-AA1SVKcS?ocid=BingNewsBrowse>; Kenneth P. Vogel, *Pardon Industry Offers Rich Offenders a Path to Trump*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 6, 2026), <https://www.nytimes.com/2026/03/06/us/politics/schwartz-trump-pardon-industry.html>.

³ Marisa Taylor, Chris Prentice, Kaylee Kang, & Allison Martell, *How to get a Trump pardon: Forget the DOJ, call “Bobby” and other influencers*, REUTERS (Jun. 11, 2026), <https://www.reuters.com/investigations/forget-doj-trump-pardon-call-bobby-other-influencers-2026-06-11/>.

President, his advisors, family members, or close associates.⁴ Recent investigative reporting indicates that this informal influence network was even more extensive than previously known, with individuals such as Bobby “The Advisor” and other non-governmental actors reportedly shaping nearly all clemency outcomes from President Trump’s second term.⁵ According to Reuters, dozens of clemency requests were funneled through these unofficial channels, often without any involvement from DOJ’s established review process.⁶ This reporting raises serious questions about whether traditional review channels were circumvented and whether established review procedures were followed, bypassed, sidelined, or inconsistently applied.

Records maintained by the White House Office of Records Management (ORM) on behalf of the Executive Office of the President (EOP), which you oversee, may help Congress better understand whether attorneys, lobbyists, donors, intermediaries, or other outside advocates sought or received preferential access in connection with clemency decisions on behalf of their clients. These records and their retention are governed by, among other legal authorities, the Presidential Records Act, which defines “Presidential Records” to include “documentary materials . . . created or received by the President, the President’s immediate staff, or . . . [certain] Executive Office of the President” personnel in the course of official duties.⁷ The Act further requires the President to take steps to ensure that presidential “activities, deliberations, decisions, and policies” reflecting official duties are “adequately documented and . . . preserved.”⁸

President Trump has a documented history of destroying Presidential Records and it is vitally important to ensure records related to clemency decisions are well maintained.⁹ ORM creates records that could be reasonably related to clemency matters, including White House and

⁴ Willem Marx, *Have Mercy: The Market for Pardons in Trump’s Wild West Wing*, Vanity Fair (Feb. 18, 2026), <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/story/presidential-pardons-trumps-america?srsId=AfmBOoqm7-HdQuk2LKAfBQC4DSuyNtM6auIiV9m3GLSAfDuDD11HT3r4>; Kenneth P. Vogel, *Pardon Industry Offers Rich Offenders a Path to Trump*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 6, 2026), <https://www.nytimes.com/2026/03/06/us/politics/schwartz-trump-pardon-industry.html>; Lobbying Report (LD-2), *Merkava Strategies Corp. for Joseph Schwartz*, 2025 Q1 (filed Jan. 15, 2026), <https://lda.gov/filings/public/filing/406720b7-4ce6-4421-8c0c-c6f83d0b2fe0/print/>; Erin Keller, *Trump was persuaded into pardoning golf partner’s client over 18 holes: Report*, INDEPENDENT (Dec. 6, 2025), <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-timothy-leiweke-pardon-golf-b2879459.html>; Rebecca Ballhaus, Josh Dawsey, & C. Ryan Barber, *Inside the new fast track to a presidential pardon*, MSN (Dec. 23, 2025), <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/inside-the-new-fast-track-to-a-presidential-pardon/ar-AA1SVKcS?ocid=BingNewsBrowse>.

⁵ Marisa Taylor, Chris Prentice, Kaylee Kang, & Allison Martell, *How to get a Trump pardon: Forget the DOJ, call “Bobby” and other influencers*, REUTERS (Jun. 11, 2026), <https://www.reuters.com/investigations/forget-doj-trump-pardon-call-bobby-other-influencers-2026-06-11/>.

⁶ *Ibid.*

⁷ Presidential Records Act of 1978, 44 U.S.C. §§ 2201–2209.

⁸ Presidential Records Act of 1978, 44 U.S.C. §§ 2203.

⁹ A. Parker, J. Dawsey, T. Hamburger, & J. Alemany, *‘He never stopped ripping things up’: Inside Trump’s relentless document destruction habits*, WASH. POST, (Feb. 5, 2022), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2022/02/05/trump-ripping-documents>; Jonathan Allen, *Flushed or just lost to history? Trump leaves hole in the record*, NBC NEWS (Feb. 13, 2022), <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/donald-trump/flushed-just-lost-history-trump-leaves-hole-record-rcna15939>.

Executive Office records concerning clemency-related communications, advocacy, recommendations, deliberations, meetings, or outside contacts.

We request your office preserve all records in your possession reasonably related to clemency matters. To the extent responsive records reasonably include materials generated or maintained at other presidential worksites or protected locations, including the President's properties at Mar-a-Lago or Bedminster, we request that such records also be preserved consistent with applicable law and lawful retention obligations. As part of this effort, we have also initiated related inquiries to the DOJ Office of the Pardon Attorney and U.S. Secret Service regarding access-entry logs, records, and procedures associated with clemency-related matters.

To assist Congress in carrying out its oversight responsibilities, we also request all records in your possession related to the below individuals who received pardons or commutations by President Trump. This includes, but is not limited to, petitions, applications, or other materials from individuals seeking clemency or their attorneys to EOP staff; any letters of support or other materials provided by third parties in support of a clemency request to EOP staff; any communications between EOP staff and individuals seeking clemency or their attorneys; any internal communications amongst EOP staff regarding these cases; and any recommendations prepared by EOP staff, including those not formally sent to the President but sent or obtained by EOP staff.

1. Trevor Milton
2. Lawrence S. Duran
3. Jason Galanis
4. Changpeng Zhao
5. Adriana Isabel Camberos
6. Andres Enrique Camberos
7. Devon Archer
8. Carlos R. Watson
9. Marian I. Morgan
10. Todd Chrisley
11. Imaad Shah Zuberi
12. David Gentile
13. Joseph Lewis
14. Joseph Schwartz
15. Paul Walczak
16. Michele Fiore
17. Timothy J. Leiweke

This information will help Congress and the American public better understand whether proper clemency-related processes were followed. Please provide these records by **Friday, July 24, 2026**. We appreciate your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'D' followed by a cursive 'M'.

Dave Min
Member of Congress

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading 'Peter Welch' in a cursive script.

Peter Welch
Ranking Member,
Subcommittee on the
Constitution
U.S. Senate Committee on
the Judiciary

Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

June 15, 2026

Mr. Edward R. Martin Jr.
Pardon Attorney
Office of the Pardon Attorney
United States Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Martin:

We write as part of a continuing oversight investigation concerning President Trump's executive clemency actions taken since January 20, 2025.¹ Public reporting has raised serious questions about certain pardons issued by President Donald Trump.² These reports suggest that some individuals may have received favorable consideration from President Trump or his advisors through intermediaries, financial contributions, influencers, or other forms of influence.³ If true, these allegations raise legitimate concerns that improper considerations, including quid pro quo or "pay-to-play" dynamics, may have affected the clemency process.

While the President's pardon authority is broad, Congress retains a legitimate legislative interest when credible questions arise regarding process, access, or abuse of power. The Office of the Pardon Attorney at DOJ plays a critical role in DOJ's clemency-review process by receiving and reviewing clemency matters and providing recommendations. Public reporting has suggested that some individuals seeking executive clemency by President Trump bypassed this process and instead sought direct access to the President, his advisors, family members, or close

¹ Press release, *Representative Dave Min and Senator Peter Welch Launch Oversight Investigation Into Trump Pardons Amid Corruption Concerns*, Office of Representative Dave Min (May 7, 2026), <https://min.house.gov/media/press-releases/representative-dave-min-and-senator-peter-welch-launch-oversight-investigation>.

² Erin Keller, *Trump was persuaded into pardoning golf partner's client over 18 holes: Report*, INDEPENDENT (Dec. 6, 2025), <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-timothy-leiweke-pardon-golf-b2879459.html>; Rebecca Ballhaus, Josh Dawsey, & C. Ryan Barber, *Inside the new fast track to a presidential pardon*, MSN (Dec. 23, 2025), <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/inside-the-new-fast-track-to-a-presidential-pardon/ar-AA1SVKcS?ocid=BingNewsBrowse>; Kenneth P. Vogel, *Pardon Industry Offers Rich Offenders a Path to Trump*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 6, 2026), <https://www.nytimes.com/2026/03/06/us/politics/schwartz-trump-pardon-industry.html>.

³ Marisa Taylor, Chris Prentice, Kaylee Kang, & Allison Martell, *How to get a Trump pardon: Forget the DOJ, call "Bobby" and other influencers*, REUTERS (Jun. 11, 2026), <https://www.reuters.com/investigations/forget-doj-trump-pardon-call-bobby-other-influencers-2026-06-11/>.

associates.⁴ Recent investigative reporting indicates that this informal influence network was even more extensive than previously known, with individuals such as Bobby “The Advisor” and other non-governmental actors reportedly shaping nearly all clemency outcomes from President Trump’s second term.⁵ According to Reuters, dozens of clemency requests were funneled through these unofficial channels, often without any involvement from DOJ’s established review process.⁶ This reporting raises serious questions about whether traditional review channels were circumvented and whether established review procedures were followed, bypassed, sidelined, or inconsistently applied.

Records maintained by the Office of the Pardon Attorney may help Congress better understand whether attorneys, lobbyists, donors, intermediaries, or other outside advocates sought or received preferential access in connection with clemency decisions on behalf of their clients. These records are governed by, among other legal authorities, the Federal Records Act, which requires agencies “preserve records containing adequate and proper documentation of the organization, functions, policies, decisions, procedures, and essential transactions...” of the agency.⁷

Your office creates records that could be reasonably related to DOJ’s clemency process, including petitions, submission materials, review memoranda, routing records, recommendations, and communications involving DOJ personnel, the White House, Executive Office personnel, or outside advocates acting on behalf of clemency applicants or recipients.

We request that your office preserve all records in your possession reasonably related to clemency matters. As part of this effort, we have also initiated related inquiries to the White House Office of Records Management and the U.S. Secret Service regarding records, access, and communications associated with clemency-related matters.

To assist Congress in carrying out its oversight responsibilities, we also request all records in your possession related to the below individuals who received pardons or

⁴ Willem Marx, *Have Mercy: The Market for Pardons in Trump’s Wild West Wing*, Vanity Fair (Feb. 18, 2026), <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/story/presidential-pardons-trumps-america?srsId=AfmBOoqm7-HdQuk2LKAtBQC4DSuyNtM6auIiV9m3GLSAfDuDD11HT3r4>; Kenneth P. Vogel, *Pardon Industry Offers Rich Offenders a Path to Trump*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 6, 2026), <https://www.nytimes.com/2026/03/06/us/politics/schwartz-trump-pardon-industry.html>; Lobbying Report (LD-2), *Merkava Strategies Corp. for Joseph Schwartz*, 2025 Q1 (filed Jan. 15, 2026), <https://lda.gov/filings/public/filing/406720b7-4ce6-4421-8c0c-c6f83d0b2fe0/print/>; Erin Keller, *Trump was persuaded into pardoning golf partner’s client over 18 holes: Report*, INDEPENDENT (Dec. 6, 2025), <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-timothy-leiweke-pardon-golf-b2879459.html>; Rebecca Ballhaus, Josh Dawsey, & C. Ryan Barber, *Inside the new fast track to a presidential pardon*, MSN (Dec. 23, 2025), <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/inside-the-new-fast-track-to-a-presidential-pardon/ar-AA1SVKcS?ocid=BingNewsBrowse>.

⁵ Marisa Taylor, Chris Prentice, Kaylee Kang, & Allison Martell, *How to get a Trump pardon: Forget the DOJ, call “Bobby” and other influencers*, REUTERS (Jun. 11, 2026), <https://www.reuters.com/investigations/forget-doj-trump-pardon-call-bobby-other-influencers-2026-06-11/>.

⁶ *Ibid.*

⁷ The Federal Records Act of 1950, 44 U.S.C. § 3101.

commutations by President Trump. This includes, but is not limited to, petitions, applications, or other materials from individuals seeking clemency or their attorneys; any letters of support or other materials provided by third parties in support of a clemency request; your office's case file, to include investigative and vetting materials, case-related correspondence, and notations from DOJ staff; any communications between yourself and individuals seeking clemency or their attorneys; any internal communications between DOJ staff regarding these cases; and any recommendations prepared by DOJ staff, including those not formally sent to the White House.

1. Trevor Milton
2. Lawrence S. Duran
3. Jason Galanis
4. Changpeng Zhao
5. Adriana Isabel Camberos
6. Andres Enrique Camberos
7. Devon Archer
8. Carlos R. Watson
9. Marian I. Morgan
10. Todd Chrisley
11. Imaad Shah Zuberi
12. David Gentile
13. Joseph Lewis
14. Joseph Schwartz
15. Paul Walczak
16. Michele Fiore
17. Timothy J. Leiweke

This information will help Congress and the American public better understand whether proper clemency-related processes were followed. Please provide these records by **Friday, July 24, 2026**. We appreciate your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Dave Min in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'D' followed by 'M'.

Dave Min
Member of Congress

Handwritten signature of Peter Welch in blue ink, written in a cursive style.

Peter Welch
Ranking Member,
Subcommittee on the
Constitution
U.S. Senate Committee on
the Judiciary

Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

June 15, 2026

Mr. Sean Curran
Director
United States Secret Service
245 Murray Lane S.W., BLDG T-5
Washington, D.C. 20223

Dear Director Curran:

We write as part of a continuing oversight investigation concerning President Trump’s executive clemency actions taken since January 20, 2025.¹ Public reporting has raised serious questions about certain pardons issued by President Donald Trump.² These reports suggest that some individuals may have received favorable consideration from President Trump or his advisors through intermediaries, financial contributions, influencers, or other forms of influence.³ If true, these allegations raise legitimate concerns that improper considerations, including quid pro quo or “pay-to-play” dynamics, may have affected the clemency process.

While the President’s pardon authority is broad, Congress retains a legitimate legislative interest when credible questions arise regarding process, access, or abuse of power. The Office of the Pardon Attorney at the Department of Justice (DOJ) plays a critical role in DOJ’s clemency-review process by receiving and reviewing clemency requests and providing recommendations. Public reporting has suggested that some individuals seeking executive clemency by President Trump bypassed this process and instead sought direct access to the

¹ Press release, *Representative Dave Min and Senator Peter Welch Launch Oversight Investigation Into Trump Pardons Amid Corruption Concerns*, Office of Representative Dave Min (May 7, 2026), <https://min.house.gov/media/press-releases/representative-dave-min-and-senator-peter-welch-launch-oversight-investigation>.

² Erin Keller, *Trump was persuaded into pardoning golf partner’s client over 18 holes: Report*, INDEPENDENT (Dec. 6, 2025), <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-timothy-leiweke-pardon-golf-b2879459.html>; Rebecca Ballhaus, Josh Dawsey, & C. Ryan Barber, *Inside the new fast track to a presidential pardon*, MSN (Dec. 23, 2025), <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/inside-the-new-fast-track-to-a-presidential-pardon/ar-AA1SVKcS?ocid=BingNewsBrowse>; Kenneth P. Vogel, *Pardon Industry Offers Rich Offenders a Path to Trump*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 6, 2026), <https://www.nytimes.com/2026/03/06/us/politics/schwartz-trump-pardon-industry.html>.

³ Marisa Taylor, Chris Prentice, Kaylee Kang, & Allison Martell, *How to get a Trump pardon: Forget the DOJ, call “Bobby” and other influencers*, REUTERS (Jun. 11, 2026), <https://www.reuters.com/investigations/forget-doj-trump-pardon-call-bobby-other-influencers-2026-06-11/>.

President, his advisors, family members, or close associates.⁴ Recent investigative reporting indicates that this informal influence network was even more extensive than previously known, with individuals such as Bobby “The Advisor” and other non-governmental actors reportedly shaping nearly all clemency outcomes from President Trump’s second term.⁵ According to Reuters, dozens of clemency requests were funneled through these unofficial channels, often without any involvement from DOJ’s established review process.⁶ This reporting raises serious questions about whether traditional review channels were circumvented and whether established review procedures were followed, bypassed, sidelined, or inconsistently applied.

Access-related records maintained by the U.S. Secret Service (USSS), which you oversee, may help Congress better understand whether attorneys, lobbyists, donors, intermediaries, or other outside advocates sought or received preferential access in connection with clemency decisions on behalf of their clients. These records and their retention are governed by, among other legal authorities, the Presidential Records Act, which defines “Presidential Records” to include “documentary materials . . . created or received by the President, the President’s immediate staff, or . . . [certain] Executive Office of the President” personnel in the course of official duties.⁷ The Act further requires the President to take steps to ensure that presidential “activities, deliberations, decisions, and policies” reflecting official duties are “adequately documented and . . . preserved.”⁸

President Trump has a documented history of destroying Presidential Records and it is vitally important to ensure records related to clemency decisions are well maintained.⁹ USSS identifies and preserves White House visitor access logs, White House Worker and Visitor Entry

⁴ Willem Marx, *Have Mercy: The Market for Pardons in Trump’s Wild West Wing*, Vanity Fair (Feb. 18, 2026), <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/story/presidential-pardons-trumps-america?srsId=AfmBOoqm7-HdQuk2LKAfBQC4DSuyNtM6auIiV9m3GLSAfDuDD11HT3r4>; Kenneth P. Vogel, *Pardon Industry Offers Rich Offenders a Path to Trump*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 6, 2026), <https://www.nytimes.com/2026/03/06/us/politics/schwartz-trump-pardon-industry.html>; Lobbying Report (LD-2), *Merkava Strategies Corp. for Joseph Schwartz*, 2025 Q1 (filed Jan. 15, 2026), <https://lda.gov/filings/public/filing/406720b7-4ce6-4421-8c0c-c6f83d0b2fe0/print/>; Erin Keller, *Trump was persuaded into pardoning golf partner’s client over 18 holes: Report*, INDEPENDENT (Dec. 6, 2025), <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-timothy-leiweke-pardon-golf-b2879459.html>; Rebecca Ballhaus, Josh Dawsey, & C. Ryan Barber, *Inside the new fast track to a presidential pardon*, MSN (Dec. 23, 2025), <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/inside-the-new-fast-track-to-a-presidential-pardon/ar-AA1SVKcS?ocid=BingNewsBrowse>.

⁵ Marisa Taylor, Chris Prentice, Kaylee Kang, & Allison Martell, *How to get a Trump pardon: Forget the DOJ, call “Bobby” and other influencers*, REUTERS (Jun. 11, 2026), <https://www.reuters.com/investigations/forget-doj-trump-pardon-call-bobby-other-influencers-2026-06-11/>.

⁶ *Ibid.*

⁷ Presidential Records Act of 1978, 44 U.S.C. §§ 2201–2209.

⁸ Presidential Records Act of 1978, 44 U.S.C. §§ 2203.

⁹ A. Parker, J. Dawsey, T. Hamburger, & J. Alemany, *‘He never stopped ripping things up’: Inside Trump’s relentless document destruction habits*, WASH. POST, (Feb. 5, 2022), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2022/02/05/trump-ripping-documents>; Jonathan Allen, *Flushed or just lost to history? Trump leaves hole in the record*, NBC NEWS (Feb. 13, 2022), <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/donald-trump/flushed-just-lost-history-trump-leaves-hole-record-rcna15939>.

System (WAVES) or comparable access-entry records, and related data that could reasonably be associated with meetings or visits regarding clemency matters.

We request your office preserve all records in your possession reasonably related to clemency matters. To the extent responsive records reasonably include materials generated or maintained at other presidential worksites or protected locations, including the President's properties at Mar-a-Lago or Bedminster, we request that such records also be preserved consistent with applicable law and lawful retention obligations. As part of this effort, we have also initiated related inquiries to the DOJ's Office of the Pardon Attorney and White House Office of Records Management regarding communications, records, and procedures associated with clemency-related matters.

To assist Congress in carrying out its oversight responsibilities, we also request all records in your possession related to the below individuals who received pardons or commutations by President Trump. This includes, but is not limited to, WAVES or comparable access-entry records relating to the below individuals, including records related to the President's properties in Mar-a-Lago and Bedminster.

1. Trevor Milton
2. Lawrence S. Duran
3. Jason Galanis
4. Changpeng Zhao
5. Adriana Isabel Camberos
6. Andres Enrique Camberos
7. Devon Archer
8. Carlos R. Watson
9. Marian I. Morgan
10. Todd Chrisley
11. Imaad Shah Zuberi
12. David Gentile
13. Joseph Lewis
14. Joseph Schwartz
15. Paul Walczak
16. Michele Fiore
17. Timothy J. Leiweke

This information will help Congress and the American public better understand whether proper clemency-related processes were followed. Please provide these records by **Friday, July 24, 2026**. We appreciate your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Dave Min
Member of Congress



Peter Welch
Ranking Member,
Subcommittee on the
Constitution
U.S. Senate Committee on
the Judiciary

Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20515

June 15, 2026

Ms. Alice Marie Johnson
White House Pardon Czar
The White House
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Ms. Johnson:

We write as part of a continuing oversight investigation concerning President Trump’s executive clemency actions taken since January 20, 2025.¹ Public reporting has raised serious questions about certain pardons issued by President Donald Trump.² These reports suggest that some individuals may have received favorable consideration from President Trump or his advisors through intermediaries, financial contributions, influencers, or other forms of influence.³ If true, these allegations raise legitimate concerns that improper considerations, including quid pro quo or “pay-to-play” dynamics, may have affected the clemency process.

While the President’s pardon authority is broad, Congress retains a legitimate legislative interest when credible questions arise regarding process, access, or abuse of power. The Office of the Pardon Attorney at the Department of Justice (DOJ) plays a critical role in DOJ’s clemency-review process by receiving and reviewing clemency requests and providing recommendations. Public reporting has suggested that some individuals seeking executive clemency by President Trump bypassed this process and instead sought direct access to the

¹ Press release, *Representative Dave Min and Senator Peter Welch Launch Oversight Investigation Into Trump Pardons Amid Corruption Concerns*, Office of Representative Dave Min (May 7, 2026), <https://min.house.gov/media/press-releases/representative-dave-min-and-senator-peter-welch-launch-oversight-investigation>.

² Erin Keller, *Trump was persuaded into pardoning golf partner’s client over 18 holes: Report*, INDEPENDENT (Dec. 6, 2025), <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-timothy-leiweke-pardon-golf-b2879459.html>; Rebecca Ballhaus, Josh Dawsey, & C. Ryan Barber, *Inside the new fast track to a presidential pardon*, MSN (Dec. 23, 2025), <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/inside-the-new-fast-track-to-a-presidential-pardon/ar-AA1SVKcS?ocid=BingNewsBrowse>; Kenneth P. Vogel, *Pardon Industry Offers Rich Offenders a Path to Trump*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 6, 2026), <https://www.nytimes.com/2026/03/06/us/politics/schwartz-trump-pardon-industry.html>.

³ Marisa Taylor, Chris Prentice, Kaylee Kang, & Allison Martell, *How to get a Trump pardon: Forget the DOJ, call “Bobby” and other influencers*, REUTERS (Jun. 11, 2026), <https://www.reuters.com/investigations/forget-doj-trump-pardon-call-bobby-other-influencers-2026-06-11/>.

President, his advisors, family members, or close associates.⁴ Recent investigative reporting indicates that this informal influence network was even more extensive than previously known, with individuals such as Bobby “The Advisor” and other non-governmental actors reportedly shaping nearly all clemency outcomes from President Trump’s second term.⁵ According to Reuters, dozens of clemency requests were funneled through these unofficial channels, often without any involvement from DOJ’s established review process.⁶ This reporting raises serious questions about whether traditional review channels were circumvented and whether established review procedures were followed, bypassed, sidelined, or inconsistently applied.

Records maintained by the White House Office of Records Management (ORM) and DOJ Office of the Pardon Attorney, as well as access-related records maintained by the U.S. Secret Service (USSS), may help Congress better understand whether attorneys, lobbyists, donors, intermediaries, or other outside advocates sought or received preferential access in connection with clemency decisions on behalf of their clients. As White House Pardon Czar, you likely have received or had access to these records. These records and their retention are governed by, among other legal authorities, the Presidential Records Act, which defines “Presidential Records” to include “documentary materials . . . created or received by the President, the President’s immediate staff, or . . . [certain] Executive Office of the President” personnel in the course of official duties.⁷ The Act further requires the President to take steps to ensure that presidential “activities, deliberations, decisions, and policies” reflecting official duties are “adequately documented and . . . preserved.”⁸

President Trump has a documented history of destroying Presidential Records, and it is vitally important to ensure records related to clemency decisions are well maintained.⁹ ORM

⁴ Willem Marx, *Have Mercy: The Market for Pardons in Trump’s Wild West Wing*, Vanity Fair (Feb. 18, 2026), <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/story/presidential-pardons-trumps-america?srsId=AfmBOoqm7-HdQuk2LKAfBQC4DSuyNtM6auIiV9m3GLSAfDuDD11HT3r4>; Kenneth P. Vogel, *Pardon Industry Offers Rich Offenders a Path to Trump*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 6, 2026), <https://www.nytimes.com/2026/03/06/us/politics/schwartz-trump-pardon-industry.html>; Lobbying Report (LD-2), *Merkava Strategies Corp. for Joseph Schwartz*, 2025 Q1 (filed Jan. 15, 2026), <https://lda.gov/filings/public/filing/406720b7-4ce6-4421-8c0c-c6f83d0b2fe0/print/>; Erin Keller, *Trump was persuaded into pardoning golf partner’s client over 18 holes: Report*, INDEPENDENT (Dec. 6, 2025), <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-politics/trump-timothy-leiweke-pardon-golf-b2879459.html>; Rebecca Ballhaus, Josh Dawsey, & C. Ryan Barber, *Inside the new fast track to a presidential pardon*, MSN (Dec. 23, 2025), <https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/politics/inside-the-new-fast-track-to-a-presidential-pardon/ar-AA1SVKcS?ocid=BingNewsBrowse>.

⁵ Marisa Taylor, Chris Prentice, Kaylee Kang, & Allison Martell, *How to get a Trump pardon: Forget the DOJ, call “Bobby” and other influencers*, REUTERS (Jun. 11, 2026), <https://www.reuters.com/investigations/forget-doj-trump-pardon-call-bobby-other-influencers-2026-06-11/>.

⁶ *Ibid.*

⁷ Presidential Records Act of 1978, 44 U.S.C. §§ 2201–2209.

⁸ Presidential Records Act of 1978, 44 U.S.C. §§ 2203.

⁹ A. Parker, J. Dawsey, T. Hamburger, & J. Alemany, *‘He never stopped ripping things up’: Inside Trump’s relentless document destruction habits*, WASH. POST, (Feb. 5, 2022), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2022/02/05/trump-ripping-documents>; Jonathan Allen, *Flushed or just lost to history? Trump leaves hole in the record*, NBC NEWS (Feb. 13, 2022), <https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/donald-trump/flushed-just-lost-history-trump-leaves-hole-record-rcna15939>.

creates records that could be reasonably related to clemency matters, including White House and Executive Office records concerning clemency-related communications, advocacy, recommendations, deliberations, meetings, or outside contacts. USSS identifies and preserves White House visitor access logs, White House Worker and Visitor Entry System (WAVES) or comparable access-entry records, and related data that could reasonably be associated with meetings or visits regarding clemency matters. DOJ's Office of the Pardon Attorney acts as the clearinghouse for reviewing clemency applications and recommending suitable candidates for the President's consideration.

We request that your office preserve all records in your possession reasonably related to clemency matters. To the extent responsive records reasonably include materials generated or maintained at other presidential worksites or protected locations, including the President's properties at Mar-a-Lago or Bedminster, we request that such records also be preserved consistent with applicable law and lawful retention obligations. As part of this effort, we have also initiated related inquiries to the DOJ Office of the Pardon Attorney, White House Office of Records Management, and U.S. Secret Service regarding access logs, communications, records, and procedures associated with clemency-related matters.

To assist Congress in carrying out its oversight responsibilities, we also request all records in your possession related to the below individuals who received pardons or commutations by President Trump. This includes, but is not limited to, petitions, applications, or other materials from individuals seeking clemency or their attorneys; any letters of support or other materials provided by third parties in support of a clemency request; your office's case file, to include investigative and vetting materials, case-related correspondence, and notations from your staff; any communications between yourself and individuals seeking clemency or their attorneys; any internal communications between your staff regarding these cases; and any recommendations prepared by your staff, including those not formally sent to the White House.

1. Trevor Milton
2. Lawrence S. Duran
3. Jason Galanis
4. Changpeng Zhao
5. Adriana Isabel Camberos
6. Andres Enrique Camberos
7. Devon Archer
8. Carlos R. Watson
9. Marian I. Morgan
10. Todd Chrisley
11. Imaad Shah Zuberi
12. David Gentile
13. Joseph Lewis
14. Joseph Schwartz

15. Paul Walczak
16. Michele Fiore
17. Timothy J. Leiweke

This information will help Congress and the American public better understand whether proper clemency-related processes were followed. Please provide these records by **Friday, July 24, 2026**. We appreciate your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Dave Min
Member of Congress



Peter Welch
Ranking Member,
Subcommittee on the
Constitution
U.S. Senate Committee on
the Judiciary